# The Evaluation of Collimator Alignment of Diagnostic X-ray Tube Using Computed Radiography System

Manus Mongkolsuk, M.Sc.(Physics) Yudthaphon Vichianin, Ph.D.(Communication and Information Science) Tipvimol Meechai, B.Sc.(Radiological Tecnology)

> Department of Radiological Technology, Faculty of Medical Technology, Mahidol University, Bangkok 10700

# Abstract

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the use of computed radiography system (CR) for collimator alignment test. Images were acquired following the NCRP No.99. The screen-film images were analyzed using visual interpretation. The CR was analyzed using digital profile. The results of collimator alignment from CR image are higher than film. In screen-film method, the process of measurement is dependent on exposure level in order to identify the boundaries of image of x-ray field on the processed film clearly. The optimized optical density for collimator alignment test in screen-film method is in the range between 1.5 and 2.0 OD. On the other hand, the results of collimator alignment in CR method using imaging plate are independent on exposure level. CR images permit digital profile analysis; there by reduceing observer error in determining the boundary of image of x-ray field. However, CR may produce longer observed distance between the x-ray field and light field, which may lead to standard violation. The variation of coefficient (CV) using CR method is less than 5% at any exposure. Thus, we concluded that the results of collimator alignment using CR method are more reliable and consistent than visual interpretations. CR method has been found to be suitable for measurement of collimator alignment due to its availability and portability.

Keywords: Quality Control, Collimator Alignment Test, Diagnostic X-ray Tube, CR

## **1. Introduction**

Nowadays, Computed Radiography (CR) system can be use in conventional x-ray equipment. It needs the same manipulations as conventional radiography and the technology is well established. CR was initially used for portable chest radiography, overcoming inconsistent image quality from inappropriate With manufacturers' exposure. ongoing improvement in ease of system use and improved image quality, CR use has expanded chest, musculoskeletal, abdominal, to pediatric examination and mammography<sup>(1).</sup>

The fundamental innovation in the development of CR was originated from Kodak. However, the significant technical steps and conceptualization of the application were introduced by Fuji (FCR101) who produced the first medical x-ray image in 1983<sup>(2)</sup>. Fuji, the main developer of CR in the

eighties, used BaFBr:Eu<sup>2+</sup> phosphor and a cassette based approach<sup>(1-3)</sup>. CR systems operate with a workflow pattern very similar to screen-film radiography. CR cassettes are exposed just like screen-film cassettes, and they are then brought to a reader unit, just as film-screen cassettes are brought to the film processor (Figure 1).

The similarity in handling of CR and screen-film cassettes contributed to the initial success of CR. One of the advantages of the CR over screen-film radiography is its much larger dynamic range (Figure 2); in other words, the exposure latitude with CR is much wider than with screen-film systems.

The digital image that is generated by CR reader is stored temporarily on a local hard disk. Many CR systems are joined directly to laser printers that make film hard copies of the digital images. CR systems often serve as entry points into a PACS, for interpretation by the radiologist and long-term archiving.



**Figure 2.** Representation of CR image acquisition and dataflow: 1.image acquisition, 2.identification, 3.processing, 4.quality control, 5.electronic transfer, 6.soft copy display and digital archiving, and 7.laser film printer (option).

The impression that CR images can always be adjusted after exposing the CR with x-rays is not necessarily true. There are several factors affecting the quality of CR images, and radiographers or technologists are the key persons who are responsible in delivering good quality radiographs, with reasonable radiation dose given to the patients. As a result, the quality control of the technical parameters and radiographic positioning of images acquired from CR systems are therefore critical and should be systematically investigated <sup>(5)</sup>.

This paper is a snapshot of a series of experimental study. In this paper, the researcher acquired comparison of beam and collimator alignment measurement with screen-film (S/F)system<sup>(6)</sup> and imaging plate (IP) of a selected CR system. The S/F and IP



**Figure 1.** One of the principal advantages of CR over screen-film detector systems is the greater dynamic range that CR provides. The usable exposure range for the CR system is much greater <sup>(4)</sup>

were exposed at various exposure levels in order to obtain various optical densities (1.0-2.5 OD above base plus fog). The processed films were analyzed using the NCRP No.99 standard<sup>(7)</sup>. Digital images obtained from IP were analyzed accordingly. Both reading results were compared during the data analysis. The observer's reading reliability and variations were also taking into consideration.

# 2. Materials and Methods 2.1 Imaging Systems

The S/F system used in this study was consisted of Kodak X-OMAT cassette sized  $8"\times10"$  and a regular screen in combination with general purpose KODAK Medical x-ray film. The exposed films were processed using a calibrated KODAK X-OMAT multiloader 7000 processor with clinical setting at 35°C temperature. An X-rite 301 densitometer with the aperture size of 1 mm was used for film optical density measurement. The Computed Radiography (CR) system, used in the experiment was a FUJI-FILM FCR XG5000 imaging reader with imaging plate sized  $20.3 \times$ 25.4 cm<sup>2</sup> corresponding to 100.0  $\mu$ m in pixel size. The X-ray machine was Siemens's Quantum Medical Imaging.

The Evaluation of Collimator Alignment of Diagnostic X-ray Tube Using Computed Radiography System

### 2.2 Image Acquisition and Processing

All images were acquired using the same x-ray tube and generator at various exposure to investigate effects due to varying optical densities. During the image acquisition process, the beam and collimator alignment test tool (RMI model 161B) was carefully positioned and taped securely on the exit window of the x-ray tube collimator, so that the test tool was parallel to the anode-cathode direction. The laser line of light field was set at the same lead line of the test tool. The image receptor was placed at 100 cm from the focal spot and located in the bucky tray. A good alignment shall ensure an accurate result suitable for analysis. (Figure 3)



**Figure 3.** Setting of beam and collimator alignment test tool.

CR images were acquired using  $8" \times 10"$  imaging plate placed at the same location as of the S/F system. To evaluate the effect of different exposure levels, the CR image plates were also exposed at similar exposures with S/F. CR's raw image data were automatically mapped logarithmically in the plate reader

### **IN PRESS**

system. To obtain linear CR profile, the data were converted to into linear data before performing calculations. The linear data acquisition must be set before image acquisitions by using appropriated CR reader program.

The exposure setting was varied to investigate effects of exposure varying. Table 1 shows the exposure technique used in both conventional radiography system and computed radiography system.

**Table 1.** The selected exposure techniques for both conventional radiography system and computed radiography system.

Conventional	Computed				
Radiography System	Radiography System				
55kVp, 5.0mAs	55kVp, 5.0mAs				
55kVp, 6.4mAs	55kVp, 6.4mAs				
55kVp, 7.5mAs	55kVp, 8.0mAs				
60kVp, 5.0mAs	60kVp, 5.0mAs				
60kVp, 6.4mAs	60kVp, 6.4mAs				
60kVp, 7.5mAs	60kVp, 8.0mAs				
70kVp, 1.6mAs	70kVp, 2.4mAs				
70kVp, 2.0mAs	70kVp, 3.2mAs				
70kVp, 2.4mAs	70kVp, 4.0mAs				
70kVp, 5.0mAs	70kVp, 5.0mAs				
70kVp ,6.4mAs	70kVp, 6.4mAs				
70kVp, 7.5mAs	70kVp, 8.0mAs				

### **2.3 Consistency Measurement**

The standard deviation (SD) and variation of coefficient (CV) have been investigated for consistency measurement. There were 35 samples for calculated the accuracy of the test procedure. The images of each test were measured repeat 35 times for data collection and then SD and CV were calculated. The SD and CV were used to compare the accuracy of the method between Conventional Radiography system and Computed Radiography system.

#### 2.4 Film Reading

The beam and collimator alignment on films were evaluated by one observer on a light box where its brightness levels were calibrated within the NEMA's standard range (700 and 2000  $cd/m^2$ ). The films were placed on the light box one at a time. Then the

Manus Mongkolsuk•Yudthaphon Vichianin•Tipvimol Meechai

observer measured the collimator alignment, beam alignment, and centering using the NCRP No.99 standard. The results were compared to those obtained from difference exposure variations.

### 2.5 Data Analysis

A computer program used to analyze CR image was a public domain Java program ImageJ<sup>(8)</sup>, version 1.37V. The program was selected because it can handle raw image data from CR and freely available. The image files were in DICOM format with 8 bits depth. Figure 4 shows beam and collimator







**Figure 5.** The profile plot of one side of collimator alignment test image.

alignment images from the CR system.

The procedures for data analysis are as following: The computer program was used to create the profile plot for accurately acquiring the collimator alignment. The rectangular regions of interest were automatically defined covering a large portion of the selected edge (Figure 4).

Figure 5 show an example of collimator profile obtained from a CR image. The highest peak (the maximum point) was the region of the dark line, which was the border of the test tool or light field (see figure 4), while the minimum point is the outermost region of x-ray field. The distance X, the distance between the x-ray field and light field obtain using digital profile plot method, was measured. The interpretation was based on NCRP No.99 criteria corresponding to the film methods.

In S/F system, the distance Y, the observable distance between x-ray field and light field, may be observed using visual interpretation. In this study, observed distance Y was shorter than X due to human inability to observe the distance Z, which is very low OD. Hence, the digital profile plot method may yield greater observed distance between x-ray field and light filed comparing to S/F.

# **3. Results and Discussions 3.1 Effect of X-ray Exposure**

To investigate the effect of x-ray exposure on the measurement of collimator alignment, a series of small CR image plates  $(8"\times10")$  and screen-film combination were exposed over a wide range of exposures and were evaluated. Table 2 lists collimator alignment measurement of S/F system, SD, and CV. The results are also illustrated in Figure 6 and 8.

The results clearly indicated that the variations among the measured collimator alignment length were very small at optical density less than 2.0 OD. In case of the optical density higher than 2.0 OD, films were overexposed to analyze the collimator alignment since there was very difficult to locate where the correct line of x-ray beam

The Evaluation of Collimator Alignment of Diagnostic X-ray Tube Using Computed Radiography System

was. Hence, the results of the optical density greater than 2.0 OD are not appropriate in practical use. For the optical density lower than 1.0 OD, the results demonstrate that there were well defined where the correct line of x-ray beam was. However, this is not appropriate in actual practice since the films would be

underexposed. Thus, based upon the results, researcher would recommend the optical density value between 1.5-2.0 OD, which is optimal in practical use for collimator alignment test.

		-	%Variance		SD		CV	
kVp	mAs	OD	Long Axis	Short Axis	Long	Short	Long	Short
			( <b>cm</b> )	( <b>cm</b> )	Axis	Axis	Axis	Axis
55	5.0	1.04	1.48	1.53	0.03	0.06	0.02	0.04
	6.4	1.18	1.49	1.45	0.08	0.04	0.05	0.03
	7.5	1.28	1.47	1.55	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.03
60	5.0 -	1.53	1.32	1.38	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.03
	6.4	1.90	1.40	1.30	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.05
	7.5	2.10	1.33	1.13	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.04
70	1.6	1.00	1.46	1.48	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.02
	2.0	1.69	1.38	1.35	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.04
	2.4	2.01	1.34	1.33	0.04	0.07	0.03	0.05
	5.0	2.24	0.96	0.84	0.05	0.08	0.05	0.10
	6.4	2.44	0.98	0.70	0.04	0.09	0.04	0.13
	7.5	2.50	1.02	0.76	0.05	0.12	0.05	0.16
		$\overline{X}_{\scriptscriptstyle SF}$	1.30	1.23				

Table 2. Collimator alignment measurement performed by conventional radiography method.

Table 3. Collimator a	lignment m	neasurement	performed	by com	puted ra	diography	method.

kVp	mAs	Pixel Value	%Variance		SD		CV	
			Long Axis	Short Axis	Long	Short	Long	Short
			( <b>cm</b> )	( <b>cm</b> )	Axis	Axis	Axis	Axis
55	5.0	147	1.79	1.83	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01
	6.4	152	1.81	1.89	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02
	8.0	167	1.75	1.82	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.02
60	5.0	174	1.72	1.90	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.02
	6.4	185	1.81	1.86	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.05
	8.0	200	1.80	1.90	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.02
70	2.4	182	1.75	1.88	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.03
	3.2	198	1.72	1.89	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02
	4.0	209	1.78	1.90	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.02
	5.0	202	1.75	1.84	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.02
	6.4	220	1.82	1.80	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.03
	8.0	262	1.78	1.87	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.02
		$\overline{X}_{CR}$	1.77	1.87				

For CR images, the results of collimator alignment measurement, SD, and CV show in table 3 and also illustrated in Figure 7 and 8.

The results indicated that variations among the measured collimator alignment from CR image are almost constant at every exposure. This results pointed out that the collimator alignment using profile plot is independent of the exposure level.

### **3.2 Consistency of Measurements**

The evaluation of collimator alignment for the S/F system at optical density

range between 1.5-2.0 OD shows the CV less than 5.0%. On the other hand, the collimator alignment films with the optical density greater than 2.0 OD had CV values between 10% and 16%, as shown in Table 2 and Figure 6(b). Hence the optical density greater than 2.0 OD is considered inconsistency and inappropriate for collimator alignment testing.

All of CR images maintained a coefficient of variation (CV) less than 5.0% at any exposure. It can also be summarized that the results of computed radiography system agree very well, showing no effects due to varying exposure combinations.



#### **3.3 Profile Plot VS Visual Interpretation**

The S/F with an optical density ranging between 1.5 OD and 2.0 OD was selected as the "Gold Standard" to compare to the CR system. The Table 2 and Table 3 show the results from S/F and CR accordingly. When comparing the results, the value reading obtained from the CR system (distance X shown in figure 5) are greater than value reading taken from the S/F system (distance Y shown in figure 5) because the CR values were measured from the profile plot representing the real x-ray field and light field or the border line of the test tool. However,





**Figure 6.** The CV of collimator alignment performed by screen-film method: (a) Long axis, (b) Short axis.







**Figure 7**. The CV of collimator alignment performed by CR method: (a) Long axis, (b) Short axis.

The Evaluation of Collimator Alignment of Diagnostic X-ray Tube Using Computed Radiography System

the S/F value readings are obtained from the visual interpretation which may not represent the actual x-ray field. Although the researcher measures them carefully, errors from visual reading the distance between x-ray field and the border line of the test tool measurement may still occur.





**Figure 8.** The percentage variance of collimator alignment performed by conventional radiography and computed radiography method: (a) Long axis, (b) Short axis.

The researcher found that the long and short axis distance obtained from the S/F system depends on exposure level (Figure 8). Hence, the test that uses S/F should be controlled and should use appropriate exposure to improve consistency and reproducibility in measurement. The results obtained from the CR system were independent of the exposure level because the use of the profile plot helps eliminating the potential errors resulted by visual interpretation of the actual boundaries of x-ray field when images were not produced with appropriate optical density.

The measured long and short axis using CR is greater than that of visual interpretation with  $\Delta \overline{X} (\overline{X}_{CR} - \overline{X}_{SF})$  long axis equal to 0.47 and 0.63 for short axis, respectively. (see Table 2 and 3)

## **4.** Conclusion

Using digital image receptors, CR system, for evaluation of collimator alignment is practically applicable and convenient. It saves cost and resources because CR does not require film printing. The measured distance of x-ray field and light field using CR were more reliable and consistent than that of visual interpretations in S/F. The CR method is especially useful for those radiology departments that are in transition to a filmless operation.

Noted that the CR method using digital profile plot allows us to measure actual the distance between the x-ray filed and light field (distance X in figure 5.) which include the distance Z that may be unobservable in S/F system. The distance X is usually greater the distance Y (using than visual interpretation) in S/F (average 0.47 cm. and 0.63 cm, for long axis and short axis respectively). Thus, using CR method may produce the results that violate the 2% variance of FFD (focal-to-film distance) suggested by the film-based gold standard.

# References

- 1. Rowlands JA. The physics of computed radiography. Phys Med Biol 2002;47:R123-R166.
- 2. Sonoda M, Takano M, Miyahara J, Kato H. Computed radiography utilizing scanning laser stimulated luminescence. Radiology 1983;148:833-838.

- Takahashi K. Progress in science and technology on photostimulable BaFX:Eu<sup>2+</sup> (X=Cl, Br, I) and imaging plates. Journal of Luminescence 2002;100:307–315.
- 4. Lee KR, Siegel EL, Templeton AW, Dwyer III SJ, Murphey MD, Wetzel LH. State of the art digital radiography. Radiographics 1991;11:1013-1025.
- 5. Pongnapang N. Practical guidelines for radiographers to improve computed radiography image quality. Biomed Imaging Interv J 2005;1(2):e12.
- 6. Dhanarun M, Suriyachaiyakorn C, Wongse-ek C, Mongkolsuk M. A custom

built collimator and beam alignment test tool. The Thai Journal of Radiological Technology 1997;22(1-3):31-38.

- 7. NCRP Report No.99. Quality Assurance for Diagnostic Imaging Equipment. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements. Maryland, USA, 1988.
- Wayne Rusband. National Institute of Health, USA. ImageJ. Avialable From: URL: http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/, March, 2007.